

18 SC 18/2015 44792/2015
STATE Vs. RAJESH
FIR No. 70/2005
(New Usman Pur)

02.06.2026

Present: Sh. F.M. Ansari, Ld. substitute Addl. PP for the State.
Accused Bablu Khatri produced from JC.(Jail No.13,
Mandoli)
Accused Sanjay, Raj Kumar. Md. Guddu, Ranveer, Raja,
Rajesh Kalia and Manish are present.
Sh. I. K. Khare, Ld. Counsel for accused Sanjay.
Sh. Aditya Kumar Singhal, Ld. Counsel for accused Raja
through VC.
Sh. Parveen Yadav, Ld. Counsel for accused Bablu Khatri
through VC.
Sh. U. K. Rai, Ld. Counsel for accused Mohd. Guddu.
Sh. J. P. Srivastava and Sh Aman Srivastava, Ld. Counsel
for accused Rajesh Kalia.
Sh. Manu Dhaka, Ld. Proxy counsel for accused Raj
Kumar.
Sh Y. S. Panwar, Ld. Counsel for accused Ranveer and
Manish.

The matter is fixed for pronouncement of judgment.

However, today it is pointed out by Ld. Substitute Addl. PP
for the State that in the present case though there is a charge for the
commission of offence u/s 174A IPC, framed against accused Raja,
however, the the police official who had executed the proceedings u/s 82
Cr.PC has not been examined by the prosecution as a prosecution
witness during the course of trial in order to prove the said charge.
Hence, it is orally prayed by the Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State that
the prosecution evidence may be reopen and Ct. Naveen who executed
the proceedings u/s 82 Cr.PC against accused Raja may be allowed to be

examined as a prosecution witness for the discharge of its burden to prove the commission of offence u/s 174A IPC by the accused.

The request is also not opposed by the Ld. Amicus Curiae for accused Raja.

In view of the abovesaid submissions, let summons be issued to PW Ct. Naveen for the purpose of PE on **05.06.2026**.

(BALWINDER SINGH)
ASJ (FTC)/North-
East/KKD Courts/
Delhi/02.06.2026