

13 SC 18/2015 44792/2015

STATE Vs. RAJESH

FIR No. 70/2005

(New Usman Pur)

16.12.2025

Present: Sh. Vineet Kumar, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the state.
Accused Bablu Khatri produced from JC.
Accused Ranbir Sehgal is absent.
Other accused are present on court bail.
Sh. J. P. Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for accused Rajesh through VC.
Sh. I. K. Khare, Ld. Counsel for accused Sanjay.
Sh. U. K. Rai, Ld. Counsel for accused Mohd. Guddu.
Sh. Y. S. Panwar, Ld. Counsel for accused Manish and Ranbir.
Sh. Aditya Singhal, Ld. Amicus Curiae for accused Raja.
Sh. Parveen, Ld. LAC for accused Bablu Khatri.

Matter is at the stage of final arguments.

At this stage it is submitted by Ld. Counsel for accused Ranbir Sehgal that accused Ranbir has been arrested in another case FIR and presently detained in jail at Aligarh. However, he has stated that he is not aware of the exact details of the case FIR in which accused has been arrested.

In view of the request, let production warrants be issued for appearance of accused Ranbir Sehgal from Aligarh Jail on NDOH. Due endorsement be made on the production warrant that the present accused is on bail in the present case.

Put up for production of accused Ranbir Sehgal and for addressing remaining final arguments on **19.01.2026**.

(BALWINDER SINGH)
ASJ (FTC)/North-
East/KKD Courts/
Delhi/16.12.2025