

CS 66/25

RAJEEV RAJPUT VS. DHARAM PAL RAJPUT

04.11.2025

Present : Sh. Atul Dewan, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff through VC.

Sh. Akash Deep Arya, Ld. Counsel for all the defendants through VC.

As per the report of the Ahlmad, report from the Mediation Centre has been received as “unsettled”; affidavit of admission-denial of documents have been filed on behalf of defendants no.1 to 4; reply to application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC has been filed on behalf of the defendants; reply to application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC has not been filed by the plaintiff; and affidavit of admission-denial of documents has not been filed by the plaintiff.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that he has already e-filed reply to application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC and affidavit of admission-denial of documents of plaintiff and soft copy has already been sent to the counsel for defendants. He has assured to file the original thereof today itself during the course of the day.

Adjournment sought by Ld. Counsel for defendants on the ground that he is not in a position to argue as he is on the way to the hospital with his wife who is unwell. Adjournment not opposed by Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

Put up for arguments on application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC on behalf of defendants, application under Order 39

Rule 1 & 2 CPC on behalf of plaintiff and admission-denial of documents on **24.12.2025**.

Interim order to continue till next date of hearing.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
North-East District, KKD Delhi/04.11.2025