

CS 66/25

RAJEEV RAJPUT Vs. DHARAM PAL RAJPUT

21.08.2025

Present: Sh. Lalit Kumar, ld. counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh. Akash Deep Ayra, ld. counsel for all the
defendants through VC.

Report from the Mediation Centre has not been received. Ld. Counsel for plainiff submits that mediation has failed yesterday itself and matter has been sent back to the Court.

Joint written statement on behalf of the defendants alongwith affidavit of Anju Bali and Sanjeev Kumar in support of application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC has been received on record. Copy supplied.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff seeks sometime to file reply to application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC as reply was not drafted due to pendency of mediation proceedings.

Reply to application application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC has also not been filed so far on behalf of defendants.

Both the parties are given liberty to file reply to the pending applications within 14 days after exchanging the copies thereof.

Put up for replication, if any, admission-denial of the documents and arguments on the application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC and application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC on **26.09.2025.**

Both the parties are directed to file their respective affidavit of admission-denial of documents **within 15 days** after exchanging the copies thereof.

Interim order to continue till NDOH.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
North-East, Karkardooma Courts,
Delhi/21.08.2025