

CS 66/25

RAJEEV RAJPUT Vs. DHARAM PAL RAJPUT

02.04.2026

Present: Sh. Atul Dewan, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Defendant in person with Sh. Akash Deep, Ld.
Counsel for defendant on VC.

Affidavit in evidence filed today by the plaintiff. Copy supplied to defendant no. 1 in court today. However, the plaintiff is absent and is stated to be unwell. Copies of his medical documents placed on record.

Another application has been filed by the plaintiff under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC submitting that defendant no. 2 Sh. Sanjeev Kumar has expired on 17.12.2025. The application has been filed under wrong provision and it should have been filed under Order 22 Rule 4 CPC. However, in order to avoid delay, the said application is treated that under Order 22 Rule 4 CPC. Copy supplied to defendant no. 1 who is the father of defendant no. 2. He and his counsel has no objection if the legal heirs mentioned in the application are impleaded in his place. Application is thus, allowed and the legal heirs of deceased defendant no. 2 are impleaded as defendants in his place.

Amended memo of parties has been filed. Let notice be issued to the said legal heirs on filing of PF etc. for the next date.

Put up for PE and appearance on behalf of legal heirs of deceased defendant no. 2 on **21.05.2026**.

(SANJAY SHARMA-I)
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
North-East District, KKD, Delhi/02.04.2026