

CS 49/25 TARUN PARIHAR VS. RAMAVTAAR

10.09.2025

Present : Sh. Yogesh Verma and Sh. Bhavtosh Sharma, Ld.
Counsel for the plaintiff.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that the deficient court fees has already been filed, however as per the provisions under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC the plaint is liable to be rejected where the relief claimed is properly valued, but the plaint is written upon paper insufficiently stamped, and the plaintiff, on being required by the court to supply the requisite stamp fee within a time to be fixed by the court, fails to do so, provided that the time fixed by the court shall not be extended unless the court for the reasons to be recorded, is satisfied that the plaintiff was prevented by any cause of an exceptional nature from correction of the valuation or supplying the requisite stamp paper, as the case may be, within the time fixed by the court and that refusal to extend such time would cause great injustice to the plaintiff.

No application has been filed with the deficient court fees explaining the exceptional circumstances due to which the deficient court fees was not filed within the time granted by the court. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff seeks some time for filing appropriate application to that effect.

In view of the submission, put up for further proceedings on **27.09.2025**.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
North-East District, KKD Delhi/10.09.2025