

CS 28/25

YOGENDER KUMAR Vs. DHARAMVEERI DEVI

17.03.2026

Present: Sh. Pankaj Sharma, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff with plaintiff.
Sh. Irfan Khan, Ld. Counsel for defendants no. 1, 2 and LRs of defendant no. 3.
Sh. Shivam Panchal, Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 4 alongwith defendant no. 4.
Defendant no. 5 is exparte.

Previous cost paid by the plaintiff to defendant no. 1, 2 & LRs of defendant no. 3.

Replication filed. Copy supplied.

An application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC was filed by the plaintiff but at this stage, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff does not press the said application. Hence, the same is dismissed as not pressed.

Pleadings are already complete and there is no document worth admission-denial by either party. Hence from the pleadings of parties, following issues are hereby framed:-

ISSUES

- 1. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for a decree of partition in respect of property bearing no. A-1034, Gali No. 21, Sonia Vihar, Delhi measuring 80 sq. yds., and if yes, what should be the share of the plaintiff? OPP***
- 2. Whether the suit property is exclusively owned by defendant no. 1 who is still alive? OPD-1***
- 3. Relief***

contd....

- 2 -

No other issue arises or is pressed for. Let affidavit in evidence be filed by the plaintiff within four weeks with advance copies to Ld. Counsels for defendants.

Put up for PE on **05.05.2026**.

(SANJAY SHARMA-I)
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
North-East District, KKD, Delhi/17.03.2026