

Hearing through Video Conferencing by Cisco Webex
Meeting App

IA No. 10/2021
Shadab Ansari Vs. State

In re:-
SC No. 17/2020
FIR No. 854/2019
PS: New Usman Pur
U/s: 20/29 NDPS Act

23.06.2021

Present: Sh. Nasir Ali, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.
Sh. Masood Ahmad, Ld. Addl. PP for the State with
IO/SI Ravi Punia.

Reply to the bail application received through mail.

It is stated by Ld. Counsel for the applicant that he has not received the copy of the reply so far.

IO stated that he will send the reply to Ld. Counsel for the applicant immediately.

It is already 10:45 am. As per the last order, the SHO was directed to ensure forwarding the copy of the reply to the application to Ld. Counsel for the applicant.

IO stated that he is out of station today so reply could not be forwarded to Ld. Counsel for the applicant.

It is not a valid reason as reply has been signed by SI Ravi Punia and is forwarded by the SHO to the Court through mail and its copy has also been forwarded to Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Let show notice be issued to the SHO as to why proceeding be not initiated against him for non-compliance of the orders of Court directing him to supply the copy of the reply to the

counsel for the applicant.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that he has received the copy of the reply and he sought some time to go through the reply and to make the submissions.

As prayed, put up on **29.06.2021** for arguments on the bail application.

(SUNIL CHAUDHARY)
Special Judge (NDPS)/ASJ/
NE/KKD/Delhi/23.06.2021