

SC No.14/2023  
STATE Vs. FAIM @ NAIM AHMED etc.  
FIR No.606/2022  
PS (Bhajan Pura)

05.03.2025

Present: Sh. Ramesh Bajiya, Addl. PP for the State.

Accused Saleem produced from JC.

Accused Faim @ Naim Ahmed and Rashid on bail.

PW13 ASI Khurshid Ali is present for his remaining cross examination. It is now 11.30 am. Defence counsel for none of the accused present and all the three accused requested for adjournment. An application has been moved on behalf of Sh. Abdul Kadir, Advocate, counsel for accused Faim @ Naim Ahmed and Saleem. It is stated in the application that Mr. Kadir is held up in Tis Hazari court and therefore, matter may be adjourned. Adjournment opposed. If the counsel is busy in another court, it cannot be a ground for adjournment. At the same time, it is a duty of the court to ensure the fair trial also and the cross examination of witness is paramount for the said purpose. In interest of justice, let the case be adjourned subject to cost of Rs.500/- against each accused and this amount be paid to PW13 ASI Khurshid Ali on next date of hearing. PW ASI Khurshid Ali is discharged and bound down for next date.

Put up on 22.03.2025 for prosecution evidence.

(SANJEEV JAIN)  
Principal District & Sessions Judge  
North-East, Karkardooma Courts,  
Delhi/05.03.2025