

SC 07/2024
STATE Vs. Anjali
Bail application of accused Surender Kumar Soni
FIR No. 713/2023
PS New Usmanpur

20.11.2025

Present: Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. H.P. Sharma with Sh. Roshan Kumar, Ld.
counsel for the applicant.

1. This is the bail application filed on behalf of the applicant Surender Kumar Soni.

2. It is submitted by counsel for the applicant that husband of complainant has already been granted by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. Further, it is submitted that on 20.09.2023, she has mentioned that she had gone to Court but she was not present in Court, copy of suit filed by her father in law against her and her husband is filed on record. It is submitted that she had not mentioned in FIR who procured the acid which is later on narrated in statement u/s 164 of Cr.P.C. It is submitted that in section 164 of Cr.P.C statement, she has mentioned that her husband and father in law had gone to bring acid same day and allegations against both of them are same. Husband has already been granted bail by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

3. Further, it is submitted that complainant has mentioned in her testimony before the Court as PW-2 that her father in law and mother in law were laughing but the same is not mentioned in FIR and section 164 Cr.P.C statement.

4. Bail is opposed by Ld. Addl. PP whereby submitting that allegations of acid attack are serious in nature and should be

dealt with strictly.

5. I have heard both the sides and gone through the record.

6. There are allegations in FIR as well as section 164 Cr.P.C statement that her husband as well as father in law both were asked to bring acid by mother in law. Allegations against both of them are same.

7. Perusal of section 164 Cr.P.C statement as well as FIR would show that she has mentioned that her mother in law threw acid on her but no active role is assigned to her father in law. It is not mentioned in her statement if he had any preplans with his wife / mother in law or he did any preparation for the commission of the offence. The only allegations is that he was also present in the house.

8. Further, it is only mentioned that he had not taken her to the hospital after the incident but he did not try to stop her from going out of the house.

9. Allegations against husband and father in law are more or less same. Husband has already been granted bail by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. Accordingly, the bail application of applicant/accused Surender Kumar Soni is **allowed**. Applicant/accused is admitted to bail on furnishing of personal bond and surety bond of Rs. 25,000/- to the satisfaction of concerned Court / JMFC/Link JMFC/ Duty JMFC and subject to the following conditions of bail:

- (i) The applicant shall under no circumstances leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.
- (ii) The applicant shall appear before the Court concerned as and when required.
- (iii) The applicant shall not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (iv) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case.
- (v) The applicant shall provide his mobile number(s) and keep it operational at all times.
- (vi) In case of change of residential address and/or mobile number, the same shall be intimated to the Court concerned by way of an affidavit.

10. It is further imperative to clarify that the observations so recorded hereinabove are only for the purpose of deciding the present bail application and shall not affect the merits of the instant case.

11. Furthermore, it is made clear that in case of breach of any of the aforementioned bail conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an application before this Court seeking cancellation of bail.

12. Accordingly, in the aforesaid terms and conditions, the present application stands disposed of.

13. Copy of this order sheet be given dasti.

(Twinkle Wadhwa)
ASJ-02(NE)/ KKD/Delhi
20.11.2025/nk