

SC No. 07/2024
State v. Anjali and others
FIR No. 713/2023
PS New Usmanpur

19.03.2025

Present: Sh. Manoj Garg, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Accused Anjali, Surender and Abhinav Soni
produced from JC.
Sh. Shahid Ahmad, Advocate for all the accused.
Counsel for the complainant through VC.

Medical report received from Jail Superintendent.
Copy supplied to applicant. Application disposed off.

It is submitted by Id. Defence counsel that now
accused Anjali is discharged from hospital hence he wishes to
withdraw the second application. Request is allowed. Application
disposed off as withdrawn.

The case is at the stage of prosecution evidence.

Counsel for the complainant submits that the
complainant is not available today being unwell. It is noted that
complainant is not appearing for quite some time. She is directed
to appear on the next date. She be summoned for the next date.

One prosecution witness Nodal Officer Surender
Kumar is present but he has not brought the relevant record as
mobile number was not mentioned in the summons. He be
summoned against for the next date.

Counsel had moved an application under section 94
of BNSS for preserving CCTV footage. Notice was sent to the IO
but IO has sent exemption. IO is directed to appear on the next
date regarding with this application with reply.

Contd....

-2-

IO is also directed to verify the medical papers which were produced by the complainant on 03.10.2024 and to file report on the next date.

Put up for prosecution evidence on 14.05.2025.
MHC (M) be also summoned for that date.

(Twinkle Wadhwa)
ASJ-02(NE)/ KKD/Delhi
19.03.2025/ap