

RCA DJ No. 88/19
JASBIR SINGH Vs. NARENDER SINGH

24.09.2025

Present: Mr. Sayyog Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.
Mr. Vishvendra Verma & Ms. Manju, Ld. Counsels
for the respondent.

Arguments on application for waiver of cost moved on behalf of appellant heard. It is submitted by ld. Counsel for appellant that vide order dated 18.09.2024, cost of Rs.10,000/- was imposed upon the appellant. It is further submitted that adjournment was sought on the said date as well as on previous dates as the counsel who was previously engaged by appellant was not keeping well. It is further submitted that now the appellant has changed his counsel and seeking adjournment previously was neither deliberate nor intentional but only due to conduct of previous counsel. It is further submitted that appellant should not made to suffer due to conduct of previous counsel.

Submissions made on behalf of appellant are highly opposed by ld. Counsel for respondent. It is submitted by ld. Counsel for respondent that appellant is in habit of seeking adjournment and is playing tactics to delay the matter by seeking adjournments onfrivolous grounds.

Submissions made on behalf of both the parties taken into consideration. Record perused. No medical document of previous counsel is annexed in support of the contention. The submissions made on behalf of appellant for seeking adjournment are not found satisfactory. Hence, no ground for waiver of cost as imposed vide order dated 18.09.2024 is made out. Present application is disposed off as not allowed.

Previous cost of Rs.10,000/- paid on behalf of appellant to ld. Counsel for respondent.

Part final arguments heard. Put up for further arguments on 27.10.2025. Both the parties are directed to submitted written final arguments within 15 days with advance copy to the opposite side.

(Meenu Kaushik)
District Judge-03
Patiala House Courts, New Delhi
24.09.2025