

Nisha Rani versus Union of India

Present: Shri Vinod Kumar Bansal, Advocate for the DH.
Shri Harish Kumar Aggarwal, Adv. for JD No.1,2 & 4.
JD No.3 exparte.

Warrants of attachment received back executed. However, one employee of SDM, Barnala appeared before the court alongwith an application moved by SDM, Barnala mentioning that account, which has been attached pertains to SDM, Barnala and the present execution application, in which account is attached pertains to DRO, Bathinda and since this account is attached, which is maintained to disburse the amount to land owners, whose land is acquired. So they are facing difficulty.

On this, Shri Rajiv Kalra, designated officer from the office of JD No.4 has come present in the court and stated that all the accounts are maintained by the NHAI, so the request made by SDM, Barnala is not sustainable and they will intimating the same to the SDM, Barnala to remit the amount in the court. So, case stands adjourned for 16.4.2026 for remittance.

Dt.08.04.2026.

Kulwinder Singh,
Stenographer(Grade-II)

(Surinder Pal Kaur),
Additional District Judge,
Bathinda (UID:PB-0089).