

EX 4/26
TARUN SHOKEEEN Vs. SATISH KUMAR

30.04.2026

Present: Ms. Ruchi Khurana, ld. counsel for DH along with both decree holders.
Mr. Vasav Mittal, ld. counsel for JD through VC.
Mr. Kartik, ld. proxy counsel for the applicant through VC.

Reply to the objection as well application u/O 1 Rule 10 of CPC are filed by the decree holders. It is submitted on behalf of JD that reply to the above-mentioned application shall be filed during course of the day.

At this stage, it is submitted by decree holder No.2 that sale deed with respect to the suit property be executed in favour of decree holder No.1 only. Separate statement of decree holder No.2 in this regard has been recorded.

Put up for arguments on above-mentioned application on **22.05.2026**.

(Meenu Kaushik)
District Judge-03
Patiala House Courts, New Delhi
30.04.2026