

14 CS (COMM.) 965/25

Sanjeev Kumar Agrawal Vs. Manju Kumari and Ors

05.06.2026 (At 12:49PM)

Present : Sh. Rahul Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff
through video conferencing.

Ms. Preeti, proxy counsel for the defendant no.1 and 2
through video conferencing.

In the morning, Mr. Rakesh Kumar appeared through video conferencing making request to pass over the matter till 12:30PM and accordingly, matter was passed over. Now, Ms. Preeti seeks further pass-over stating that Mr. Rakesh Kumar has gone to Saket Court. I cannot appreciate such kind of scenario wherein request was made with particular time mentioned by ld. counsel himself for the purpose of his appearance and making arguments. The court has even waited after 12.30pm till now not for the purpose of further pass over, as sought.

Accordingly, arguments heard on all three applications of defendant as made by Mr. Sharma. All three applications are now reserved for orders.

Defendants are given liberty to file brief note of their submissions on all three applications, within seven days along with proof of service of the same upon plaintiff or counsel for plaintiff.

Put up for orders on **04.07.2026**.

Pulastya Pramachala
District Judge (Commercial Court)-01
Patiala House Court, New Delhi.
05.06.2026 (HL)