

7 CS (COMM.) 965/25

Sanjeev Kumar Agrawal Vs. Manju Kumari and Ors

08.04.2026 (At 10:30AM)

Present : Sh. Rahul Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

Sh. Aftab Alam, Proxy Counsel for the defendant.

Receipt of cost has been filed on behalf of plaintiff.

One amended memo of parties was also filed on behalf of plaintiff to mention name of both the defendants. Mr. Sharma submits that affidavit regarding service of defendant no.2 could not be filed but he is unable to furnish any reason. At the same time, vakalatnama was filed on behalf of defendant no.2 by the same counsel who filed vakalatnama for defendant no.1. Mr. Rahul Sharma submits that the period of 30 days may be counted from the date of execution of vakalatnama. Vakalatnama for defendant no.2 was shown to be executed on 20.02.2026. A common written statement on behalf of both the defendants along with Statement of Truth, application for condonation of delay in filing written statement, affidavit of admission/denial, another application under Order VII Rule 11 CPC, reply to stay application of plaintiff, one application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC on behalf of defendant no.2 and another application under Section 151 CPC to reject the LC report were filed on behalf of defendants, before this date of hearing. Mr. Sharma acknowledges having received copies of all these pleadings and applications. Counsel for defendants has not appeared, hence, matter is passed over for submissions in respect of miscellaneous application.

Matter is passed over.

Pulastya Pramachala
District Judge (Commercial Court)-01
Patiala House Court, New Delhi.
08.04.2026

08.04.2026 (At 11:38AM)

Present : Sh. Rahul Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

Sh. Rakesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the defendants
no.1 and 2 through video conferencing.

Ld. counsel for plaintiff did not contest the application for condonation of delay in filing common written statement on behalf of both the defendants. Keeping in view the minor delay in filing of the same, the delay is condoned. Written statement is taken on record.

Ld. counsel for plaintiff seeks time to prepare for other applications filed on behalf of defendants till next date. Arguments shall be heard on applications under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC; under Order VII Rule 11 CPC and under Section 151 CPC.

If any reply is being filed, advance copy of the same must be supplied to ld. counsel for the defendant.

Put up for arguments of aforesaid applications on
05.06.2026.

Pulastya Pramachala
District Judge (Commercial Court)-01
Patiala House Court, New Delhi.
08.04.2026