

Cr Rev No. 764/2023
Harihara Ravi Iyer Vs. THE STATE and Ors.
FIR No. 1791/2021
16.02.2024

Present : Sh. Nikhil Kapoor, Ld. counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Irfan Ahmed, Ld. Substitute APP for the State/R-1.
Sh. Pradeep Mahajan, Ld. counsel for respondent nos. 2 and 3.

He submits that he will file his Vakalatnama during the course of the day and will file reply before the NDOH providing advance copy to the opposite side.

Notice issued to R-4 received back unexecuted with the report that he has left the premises.

Ld. counsel for petitioner has moved an application seeking filing of fresh address of respondent no. 4. Same is taken on record. Let fresh notice be issued to R-4 on filing of PF/RC for the NDOH.

Notice to R-5 was issued at the wrong address.

Let fresh notice be issued to R-5 on the address mentioned in the memo of parties on filing of PF/RC for the NDOH.

R-6 is served but absent.

Put up for arguments on **02.04.2024**.

(Sanjay Garg-I)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
New Delhi District
Patiala House Courts/ND/16.02.2024(m)