

**Crl. Rev. No.764/2023**  
**Harihara Ravi Iyer Vs. State & Others**

**13.03.2026**

Present : Sh. Rishab Kaushik, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner/  
revisionist.

Sh. Tushar Mahajan, Ld. Counsel for respondent Nos.  
2 to 4.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has filed one application for seeking rejection of vakalatnama of respondent Nos. 2 & 3. Similar plea was raised by the petitioner on the last date of hearing. No ground is made out for rejection of vakalatnama. The application is dismissed.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that his client has instructed him to take a date and argue the matter on the next date of hearing. This is no ground for seeking an adjournment. However, in the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to Ld. Counsel for the petitioner to advance arguments on the next date of hearing, subject to cost of Rs.5,000/- to be deposited with D.L.S.A. It is made clear that no further opportunity shall be granted.

Renotify on **11.05.2026**.

**(Pitamber Dutt)**  
Principal District & Sessions Judge  
New Delhi District  
Patiala House Courts/ND/13.03.2026