

SC No. : 7/2026  
FIR No. : 691/2025  
State v. Alok Nath Mishra  
PS : Kotwali

08.05.2026

Present: Sh. Alok Saxena, Ld. CPP for State.  
Ms. Afsana, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant  
Ghazala.  
SI Rahul Garg on behalf of IO SI Prashant Sharma.

This is an application filed on behalf of applicant Ghazala for release of two mobile phones.

Notice of the application was given to the IO for filing a response. Vide order dated 21.04.2026, it was noted that neither any response was filed by the IO nor he appeared before the Court. In the interest of justice, one more opportunity was given to the IO for filing reply to the application. Copy of the order dated 21.04.2026 was also sent to the DCP for information and necessary action.

Today, photocopy of a reply dated 08.05.2026 has been filed. The original is stated to be with SI Prashant Sharma. SI Rahul Garg has appeared on behalf of SI Prashant Sharma but he is not aware of the case and is not able to answer the queries put by the Court.

Pertinent to note is that in response to the application for release of mobile phones, reply "to the bail application of accused Alok Nath Mishra" has been filed which makes no sense at all. Clearly, extremely casual attitude has been adopted by the IO in filing response to the application. It appears

-2-

that the application is not contested and the investigating agency has no objection to the application.

Put up on **26.05.2026** for consideration on the application.

A copy of the order be sent to the Joint Commissioner of Police concerned for information.

(Nishant Garg)  
ASJ-06 (Central),  
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi  
08.05.2026