

GOBIND ALIAS OHAMJI VERSUS STATE OF HARYANA
-1- CIS No. BA/3572/2025

IN THE COURT OF NATASHA SHARMA ADDITIONAL SESSIONS
JUDGE, HISAR (UID No.HR0219).

CIS No. BA/3572/2025
Case Regd.No.252-BAof 2025
Date of Institution: 28.11.2025
CNR No. HRHS010142442025
Date of Order: 19.12.2025

Gobind alias Ohamji son of Sanjay resident of Agra road Prajapat colony
Mainpuri District Mainpiri (U.P) & now at Vinod Nagar District Hisar.

---Accused/applicant.

Versus

State of Haryana

-----Respondent.

FIR No.775 dated 14.11.2024
Under Sections: 103(1),115,190,191(3) of
of The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita(in short 'BNS')
(S.61 BNS added lateron)
Police Station: HTM, Hisar.

.....

2nd APPLICATION FOR REGULAR BAIL UNDER SECTION 483
OF THE BHARATIYA NAGARIK SURAKSHA SANHITA.

.....

Present: Ms.Rekha Mittal Adv. & Ms.Taniya Goyal Adv.counsel for
accused/applicant Gobind alias Ohamji.
Sh.Vijender Kumar Public Prosecutor for the State/respondent.

ORDER :

The accused/applicant named above moved an application
in seeking the concession of regular bail in the aforementioned FIR. Upon
notice, the same is opposed by the adversary by filing the written reply.

2. Succinctly stated, the present case FIR was registered at the
behest of complainant Jai Singh resident of Hisar who had two sons, elder
being Sagar. His wife Santosh lived separately with the two sons at Nalka
Chowk 12 Quarter Hisar. On 14.11.2024, his wife telephonically informed

(Natasha Sharma)
Addl. Sessions Judge, Hisar
Dated: 19.12.2025.

that Sagar alongwith his friends including Sachin was present at Nalka Chowk at about 02:00PM, when 5-6 boys came on two motorcycles, of whom, one was Deepanshu alias Faltu; the other was Ankush alias Bachhi and they attacked upon Sagar and Sachin with knife, awl (sua), wooden dandas, bricks etc. The assailants kept causing injuries to Sagar; took him to the adjoining street where Sagar fell down and the assailants fled away on the motorcycles with their respective weapons. The injured Sagar was rushed to the Hospital, where he was declared as 'dead' by the doctor. As alleged, the overt act was committed on account of previous enmity.

3. Investigation swung into motion; post-mortem examination of the deceased Sagar was got conducted who died of haemorrhage & shock due to multiple injuries; CCTV footage was recovered by the police; the applicant was arrested on 22.11.2024 and investigation proceedings culminated in final report against five accused/CCL including the applicant.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant contended that the applicant has been falsely implicated. However, while admitting the presence of the applicant at the spot, it is contended that the applicant had rather tried to resolve the fight by throwing bricks lying nearby but was arraigned as an accused. It is contended that the applicant has been in custody since 22.11.2024; he is not required for investigation purpose by the police; investigation proceedings have culminated into the final report; no useful purpose would be served by keeping him behind the bars when trial of the case may take some time and that the applicant shall not

misuse the concession of regular bail, if the same is granted to him. It is also submitted that the previous bail application was dismissed as withdrawn on 15.11.2025.

5. On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor for State contended that there are serious allegations against the applicant who was a member of the unlawful assembly at the relevant time that caused fatal injuries to complainant's son Sagar who died on that count. The active participation of the applicant in the commission of offences was explicit from the CCTV footage recovered by the police. It is contended that even the juvenile 'A alias B' involved in the commission of offences was denied the relief of bail vide order dated 10.02.2025 passed by Sh.Dinesh Kumar Mittal the then learned Sessions Judge Hisar in CRA No.43 of 2025. It is further contended that the eye-witness Parmjeet Singh examined as PW3 has fully supported the prosecution version; other witnesses are still to be examined after summoning of additional accused, if any and there is an apprehension with the prosecution that the applicant might abscond; influence the witnesses and flee from justice by misusing the concession of regular bail, if the same is granted to him.

6. Considered the rival contentions and perused the file.

7. In the case at hand, there are serious allegations against the applicant whose identity was captured in the CCTV footage & specifically so identified by the complainant party, as one of the assailants & pillion-riders to the offending vehicle, who gave injuries to Sagar and Sachin with bricks on the fateful day of 14.11.2024 in prosecution of the common

object of the unlawful assembly formed with the co-accused, armed with knife, wooden dandas etc., thereby resulting in death of complainant's Sagar due to the injuries thus sustained.

8. The gravity of offence is writ large having great ramifications at the legal pedestal. The police report is, prima facie, reflective of active involvement of the applicant in the alleged commission of offences that attracts stringent punishment in law.

9. Taking into consideration all the cumulative circumstances, the nature of accusation, gravity of offence and severity of charge, no ground is made out for grant of bail to the applicant Gobind alias Ohamji. Resultantly, the instant application is hereby dismissed, at this stage. However, any observations made herein are only for the purpose of deciding the bail matter and do not comment upon the merits of the main case. Papers pertaining to the application in hand be tagged with the main file after due compliance.

Pronounced in the Open Court:

Dated : 19.12.2025

Typed by self

(Natasha Sharma)

Addl. Sessions Judge, Hisar.

(UID No. HR0219)

Four pages checked & signed.

(Natasha Sharma)

Addl. Sessions Judge, Hisar

Dated: 19.12.2025.

(Natasha Sharma)

Addl. Sessions Judge, Hisar

Dated: 19.12.2025.