

MACT No.46/26

Master Laksh Verma Vs. Rajeev Ranjan & Ors.

02.06.2026

Present : Mr. Subhash Tagra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner
through VC.
Ms. Anu, mother of the petitioner in person.
None for respondents no. 1 and 2.
Mr. N.K. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for respondent no.
3/insurance company through VC.

Notice of the claim petition has been served upon respondent
no. 3.

As per the report of the Ahlmad, notice was not served upon
respondents no. 1 and 2.

On filing of PF & RC, issue fresh notice for service upon
respondents no. 1 and 2 through the IO and concerned SHO.

Ld. Counsel for the respondent no. 3 submits that as per the
charge-sheet, there is a CCTV footage of seizure of the offending
vehicle.

He submits that the CCTV footage has not been filed nor has it
been provided to the parties.

*Issue notice to the IO and concerned SHO with direction to file
CCTV footage of seizure of the offending vehicle and provide it to the
parties on the next date of hearing.*

Copy of this order be sent along with the notice.

To come up on 11.08.2026.

(Shirish Aggarwal)
Judge/ PO, MACT-01
New Delhi, 02.06.2026