

CS No. 468/2022

Shri Saiyid Sirajul Hasan Vs. Shri Syed Murtaza Ali Khan Bahadur of Rampur (deceased), through legal heirs & Ors.

28.04.2026

Present: Sh. Anil Kumar Mishra, Sh. Zulnoor Ahmed and Ms. Pallak Bhagat and, Ld. Counsels for the plaintiff.

Sh. Saurabh Suman Sinha and Sh. Gautam Prabhakar
Ld. Counsels for defendant No.1.

Sh. Tarang Agarwal, Sh. Ayush Khare, Sh. Mrigank Kumar and Adv. Ananya C. Ray, Ld. Counsels for defendant No.1 (iii) and (iv).

Sh. Parijat Sinha, Ld. Counsel for defendant No.3(i) to (iii) and defendant Nos. 9, 11 & 12.

Sh. Gaurav Ghosh, Ld. Counsel for defendant No. 10(i) to (iii) and defendant No.13(i) to (iv).

Sh. Harshit Agarwal and Sh. Kamal Kumar, Ld. Counsels for legal heir of deceased defendant No.14 (Meherunissa Begum), Mrs. Zeba Husain.

Sh. Tarun Gupta and Sh. Hirday Viridi, Ld. Counsels for legal heir of deceased defendant No.14 (Meherunissa Begum), Mr. Zain Naqi.

Vide my separate judgment of even date, dictated and announced in the open court, the present suit filed by the plaintiff against the defendants is partly decreed.

It is held that 66 jewelry items mentioned in list Ex.PW-3/1 and item no. 4 of list Ex.PW-3/2 are the private property of late Nawab. Thus, plaintiff and defendants have

inherited the above jewelry items as per their respective shares. The plaintiff, however, has failed to prove that items no. 1 to 3 of list Ex. PW-3/2 are the private properties of late Nawab at the time of his death. The plaintiff has also failed to prove that he is entitled for partition of dynastic jewelries i.e. heirloom jewelries, mentioned in list Ex.PW-3/3, as per the judgment titled ***“Sri Marthanda Varma (D) through LRs & Anr Vs State of Kerala & Ors (Supra)”***.

The plaintiff and defendants are thus entitled to have their shares in 66 jewelry items of list Ex.PW-3/1 and item No.4 of list Ex.PW-3/2, in the following manner:-

S.No.	Party	Name	Sahams	Percentage
1.	Plaintiff	Sh. Saiyid Sirajul Hasan	20,160	4.05
2.	Defendant no. 1 (iii) (Being LR of defendant no.1 Late Syed Murtaza Ali Khan)	Sh. Mohammed Ali Khan	40,320	8.10
3.	Defendant no. 1 (iv) (Being LR of defendant no.1 Late Syed Murtaza Ali Khan)	Smt. Nighat Abedi @ Smt. Nighat Ali Khan	20,160	4.05
4.	Defendant no. 3 (i) (Being LR of defendant no. 3 Late Syed Zulfiqar Ali Khan)	Begum Mehtab Zamani Ali Khan @ Begum Noor Bano	12,924	2.59
5.	Defendant no. 3 (ii) (Being LR of defendant no. 3 Late Syed Zulfiqar Ali Khan)	Mrs. Saman Ali Khan @ Mrs. Saman Khan	22,617	4.55

	Khan)			
6.	Defendant no. 3 (iii) (Being LR of defendant no. 3 Late Syed Zulfiqar Ali Khan)	Mrs. Saba Durrez Ahmed	22,617	4.55
7.	Defendant no. 3 (iv) (Being LR of defendant no. 3 Late Syed Zulfiqar Ali Khan)	Mr. Kazim Ali Khan @ Navaid Mian	45,234	9.10
8.	Defendant no. 5	Mrs. Gisela Ldschbor alias Mrs. Gisela Maria Ali Khan	9,072	1.82
9.	Defendant no. 6	Mr. Raza Ledschbor alias Sh. Syed Raza Andrias Ali Khan	25,704	5.17
10.	Defendant no. 7	Mr. Nadeem Ledschbor @ Sh. Syed Nadim Ali Khan	25,704	5.17
11.	Defendant no.9	Talat Fatima Hasan	10,080	2.02
12.	Defendant no. 10 (i) (Being LR of defendant no. 10 Late Birjees Laqa Begum)	Mrs. Yasmin Qasim Mohiuddin	17,232	3.46
13.	Defendant no. 10 (ii) (Being LR of defendant no. 10 Late Birjees Laqa Begum)	Ms. Seeme Qasim	17,232	3.46
14.	Defendant no. 10 (iii) (Being LR of defendant no. 10 Late Birjees Laqa Begum)	Mrs. Sabin Qasim Siddiqi	17,232	3.46
15.	Defendant no. 11	Smt. Akhtar Laqa Begum	51,696	10.39
16.	Defendant no. 12	Smt. Naheed Laqa Begum @ Smt. Naheed Mehta	51,696	10.39

17.	Defendant no. 13 (i) (Being LR of defendant no. 13 Late Qamar Laqa Begum)	Mrs. Rahab Ali Soni	17,232	3.46
18.	Defendant no. 13 (ii) (Being LR of defendant no. 13 Late Qamar Laqa Begum)	Ms. Sameera Bahadur	17,232	3.46
19.	Defendant no. 13 (iii) (Being LR of defendant no. 13 Late Qamar Laqa Begum)	Mr. Samir ali Khan	11,488	2.31
20.	Defendant no. 13 (iv) (Being LR of defendant no. 13 Late Qamar Laqa Begum)	Mrs. Amna Ali Khan	5744	1.15
21.	Defendant no. 14 (i) (Being LR of defendant no. 14 Late Mehrunnissa Begum)	Mr. Zain Naqi	24,192	4.86
22.	Defendant no. 14 (ii) Late Mehrunnissa Begum)	Mrs. Zeba Husain	12,096	2.43

Ld. Counsel of plaintiff submits that interim order granted in this case dated 23.05.1972 may be extended for two weeks, because there is an apprehension that the jewelry items qua which decree has been passed can be disposed of.

Ld. Counsel for defendant Nos. 1 (iii) and (iv) submits that they shall abide the interim order granted by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 23.05.1972 for further two weeks from today.

In view of the submission made by Ld. Counsel for defendant Nos.1 (iii) and (iv), no further order is required to be passed on the submission made by Ld. Counsel of plaintiff.

Decree Sheet be accordingly prepared. File be
consigned to record room after due compliance.

(Pitamber Dutt)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
New Delhi District
Patiala House Courts/ND/28.04.2026