

CS No. 58565/16  
MEENAKSHI DUBEY Vs. PANKAJ AGGARWAL

26.02.2026

Present: Mr. Pushkar Sood, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff along  
with husband of plaintiff in person.  
Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Ld. Counsel for defendant.  
Defendant is present through VC.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for defendant that plaintiff and defendant have agreed to amicably settle the dispute with respect to the property at Vasant Vihar/suit property.

Submissions regarding settlement are highly opposed by Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

Pursuant to query from husband of the plaintiff, he stated that he along with plaintiff as well as defendant, as defendant visited India recently and left India on 24.02.2026 itself, met with the builder for exploring the possibility of settlement with respect to the suit property, however, final settlement could not be arrived.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submitted that as there is no settlement between the parties, he wishes that matter be proceed further on merits.

Considering the fact that since no final settlement has yet been arrived and Ld. Counsel for the parties have no objections if court proceed further in the matter as per law, the court deems it appropriate to proceed further in the matter and to seek clarifications from the parties as matter is listed today for

Contd...2/-

seeking clarifications. However, the moment court tries to seek clarifications from the parties, Ld. Counsel for the defendant submitted that since parties are trying to settle the matter, adjournment should be granted so that conducive environment can be granted to the parties to settle the dispute.

Considering the submissions, adjournment is granted.

Put up for confirmation of settlement, if any/final arguments on 13.03.2026 at 12:30 p.m.

It is pertinent to mention that present matter pertains to the year 2015 and undersigned is hearing final arguments in the matter since 05.06.2025 and about 18 dates have been devoted for hearing the final arguments. Hence, it is made clear to the parties that in case no settlement is arrived between the parties till the next date of hearing, the matter shall be proceeded further on merits as per law and no further adjournments shall be given for the purpose of exploring the possibility of settlement.

(Meenu Kaushik)  
District Judge-03  
Patiala House Courts, New Delhi  
26.02.2026