

IA No. 3-2026
SC 77/2026
STATE Vs. SATENDRA SINGH AND ORS.
FIR No. 271/2025
PS Vasant Kunj South
U/sec 310(2)/311/127(2)/140(3)/61(2)/317(2)/331(6)/351(2)/3(5) BNS

12.05.2026

This is an application under section 483 BNSS for grant of regular bail moved on behalf of accused/applicant Devender @ Gandhi.

Present: Mr. Irfan Ahmed, Ld. (Substitute) Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Ashish, Sh. Vikas Chaudhary and Mr. Akrar M. Khan, Id.
Counsels for accused/applicant.

Reply to the application filed. Copy supplied.

ARGUMENTS ON BEHALF OF ACCUSED/APPLICANT

1. It is argued on behalf of accused that he has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in JC since 17.07.2025. It is further argued that nothing has been recovered from the possession or at the instance of accused/applicant. It is further submitted that investigation against accused/applicant has already been completed and charge sheet has already been filed and no useful purpose will be served in keeping accused/applicant in custody. It is further submitted that co-accused persons namely Vikas Chaudhary, Sammi, Amit Kumar, Anil Kumar, Dinesh Kumar Verma @ Billu @ Pajji, Adesh Kumar, Satender Singh, Ashish and Arvind Tyagi have already been granted bail and role of present accused is much lesser than the co-accused persons. Hence, it is prayed that accused/applicant be also released on bail on the ground of parity as he is ready to abide with any condition imposed by the court.

ARGUMENTS ON BEHALF OF STATE

2. On the other hand, Ld. (Substitute) Addl. PP for the State has vehemently opposed the bail application stating that as per reply filed by IO on 23.06.2025 an information was received at police station Vasant Kunj South regarding dacoity at Farm No. 12, Forest Lane Ghitorni, New Delhi. On receipt of information, IO reached at the spot where he met complainant Karan Chopra. Complainant stated that on 22.06.2025 his parents left for Canada around 10:00 AM. At around 03:00 PM, he left his house in his Range Rover HR 51BJ 0009 alongwith his driver Arun for work, followed by attending a birthday party at Lodhi Hotel, Chanakyapuri and returned home at around 02:00-02:15 AM.

2.1 Complainant stated that upon his arrival, he noticed that all lights were off and regular guard, who usually opened the main door was missing. He instructed his driver Arun to locate the guard but Arun did not return. Thereafter, complainant then drove to the main entrance and found a new guard present but Arun was still missing. When he reached the main porch, new guard followed him to open the main door. At around 02:30-02:45 AM, two armed men arrived from behind and began threatening him and asked him about the cash in the house. The intruders entered the first floor room, broke open a locker and stole approximately Rs. 5 to 5.5 lakhs in cash, a gold chain and a gold coin. They further intimidated the complainant, asking who handles cash transaction and can drive. Out of fear, complainant named his long time driver Satendra. The robbers made call to Satendra, who arrived at the house around 04:00 AM. The robbers threatened Satender and asked about daily cash to which Satender replied that he sometimes carries Rs. 2 crores.

2.2 Thereafter, robbers demanded the office address and out of fear, complainant shared the same and he was instructed to call his accountant Surender to bring office cash. Surender informed that he was in Narela.

Thereafter, the robbers alongwith complainant left and reached the office at Sikanderpur around 06:00-06:30 AM where Surender was present where robbers collected approximately Rs. 20 lakhs from the office and left with the complainant.

2.3 During the course of investigation, on 25.06.2025, accused Satender (driver of complainant) and Anil Kumar (driver of father of complainant) were arrested. Partial cash property i.e., cash of Rs. 95,000/- was recovered from the possession of accused Anil Kumar. On 26.06.2025, accused Santosh Tripathi and Shammi were arrested whereas accused Adesh Kumar and Amit Kumar were arrested and partial case property was recovered from their instance.

2.4 During interrogation, accused Adesh disclosed that he had been employed as a personal driver at complainant's farmhouse for about 8-9 years and was aware that large amounts of cash remained with complainant. Thereafter, accused Adesh and Satender decided to plan a robbery and they involved Anil and Satish in the plan. Later on meetings were held between Santosh Tripathi, Satender, Shammi and Amit and other accused persons i.e., Dinesh Verma @ Billu, Devender @ Gandhi (accused/applicant), Ashish @ Neelu, Vikas and Arvind Tyagi also joined the conspiracy. On 20.06.2025 the group met near Ghitorni Metro Station and finalized the plan. Accused Anil informed about the date of owner's travel abroad. Additional men with weapons were also called from U. P. and black Scorpio vehicle was arranged.

2.5 It is argued that allegations against accused/applicant are serious in nature. It is further prayed that there is every likelihood that accused/applicant may threaten the witnesses, if released on bail. Hence, it is prayed that present applications may be dismissed in the interest of justice.

3. Submissions heard. Record perused.

FINDINGS/CONCLUSION

4. Allegations against the accused/applicants are that they are involved in robbery and committed robbery of approximately Rs. 20 lakhs from the office of complainant.

4.1 Accused/applicant is seeking bail on the ground of parity as co-accused Anil Kumar from whom recovery was effected, has been admitted to bail vide order dated 23.12.2025 by Hon'ble Delhi High Court and co-accused Vikash Chaudhary, Sammi, Amit Kumar, Dinesh Kumar Verma, Adesh Kumar, Satender Singh, Ashish and Arvind Tyagi have also been admitted to bail vide order dated 10.11.2025, 20.11.2025, 22.11.2025, 04.02.2026, 16.04.2026 and 25.04.2026 respectively by Ld. Sessions Courts. I am in agreement with Id. Counsel that role of present accused is lesser than role of co-accused Dinesh as pistol used in the commission of crime was also recovered from his possession.

4.2 Co-accused persons from whose possession stolen currency notes were recovered have already been released on bail, charge sheet has already been filed, accused is not involved in any other case, no useful purpose will be served in keeping accused/applicant in custody as trial shall considerable time.

4.3 Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances of the case, **accused/applicant namely Devender Singh @ Gandhi is admitted to bail on the ground of parity subject to furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety in the like amount on the following conditions:**

- i) That the applicant/accused shall not leave Delhi/NCR without prior intimation to the IO/Court either by written intimation or through SMS to IO on mobile phone in advance;
- ii) That the applicant/accused will supply all numbers of his functioning phones/mobile phones to the IO as well as about his whereabouts.
- iii) That the applicant/accused shall not tamper with the evidence;
- iv) That the applicant/accused shall not try to contact in any manner or threat the prosecution witnesses;

- v) That in case of change of his residential address, he shall intimate the court about the same;
- vi) That the applicant shall attend the Court on each and every date of hearing without fail.
- vii) In case of involvement in any criminal case during bail period the bail shall be liable to be cancelled.

Nothing stated above herein shall tantamount of any expressions on the merits of this case.

A copy of this order be sent to the Jail Superintendent concerned for further communication to the accused/applicant. Copy of this order be sent to Jail Superintendent in compliance of judgment titled as Ajay Verma Vs. State.

(Dhirendra Rana)
ASJ-07/PHC/New Delhi
12.05.2026