

BA-3-2023 State Vs. Karaj Singh

FIR No.228 Dated 31.12.2022

U/s 61/1/14 of Excise Act

PS Mallan Wala

Present :- Ms Anmol Khurana, Advocate for  
applicant/accused

Ld. APP for the State

Reply not filed by the State. Police record  
received and perused.

Heard. The Learned applicant counsel has  
argued that accused is in judicial custody since of his  
arrest. The counsel for the applicant/accused further argued  
that he has been falsely implicated in this FIR. Hence, the  
present bail application.

No formal reply has been filed by the Ld. APP  
for the State. However, learned APP for the State has  
argued that the applicant is habitual offender and does not  
entitled to be released on bail and as per police record,  
there are two other FIRs have already been registered on  
his name.

I have considered the reliable contention raised  
by learned counsel, learned APP for the State and I have  
also perused the file. The contention raised by the learned  
counsel for the applicant/accused that accused is in judicial  
custody since the day of his arrest and is no longer required  
for interrogation by police and therefore accused deserves  
to be released on bail. Besides of above, as per report of  
the Police, two other FIR.s have already been registered  
against the above said accused.

So keeping in view of the above said facts and  
series of cases registered against the accused, the  
accused/applicant is not entitled to be released on bail in  
this case. Hence, the bail application is **dismissed**. This bail  
application be attached with the remand papers.

Date of Order :05.01.2023

*Manju Bala*

*Stenographer-II*

(Anshuman Siag), PCS,  
Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class,  
Zira/UID No.PB0661