

BA.03.2019 State Vs Baljit Singh etc

FIR No.86/21.06.2016

U/s 295A,120-B IPC

PS Dialpura

Present: Sh.Chand Kumar APP for the state.
Sh.SS Bhairupa Advocate counsel for accused/ applicants Baljit Singh, Sukhmander Singh, Rajvir Singh, Jatindervir Arora and Kuldeep Singh.

Reply not filed by the state. However, ld. APP for the state has strongly opposed the bail.

Heard on the bail application. The learned counsel for the applicants/accused Baljit Singh, Sukhmander Singh, Rajvir Singh, Jatindervir Arora and Kuldeep Singh has submitted that the accused are innocent and falsely implicated in this case. Ld. counsel for the accused/applicants further submitted that the accused are in Judicial custody and nothing is to be recovered from them. On the other hand, learned APP for the state opposed the bail application of the accused and submitted that the accused/applicants are not entitled to the concession of bail. This court is of the considered opinion that if the bail application is allowed, then the accused may abscond or temper with the evidence. Moreover, the offences in question are serious in nature as it having impact over the society at large. There will be law and order problem if the instant bail application is allowed, because it involves the religious feeling of lakhs of people in the Punjab as well as whole of India. Keeping in view the circumstances and gravity of the offence and the manner under which the offence is committed, the bail application stands dismissed. Papers be attached with the concerned FIR.

Pronounced in open court.

07.01.2019

Jaspal Stenographer-G-II

Mandeep Singh Kainth,PCS,
Judicial Magistrate 1st Class,
Phul. UID No.PB0491