

Neeraj Sharma Vs.State of Punjab (BA No.2979/2019)
(Date of Instt.18.7.2019)
(CNR No.PBJL010158492019)
(UID No.PB00020)

Present : Sh.Rohit Kumar Patti,Adv.counsel for accused/applicant.
Sh.Satpal, PP for the state.

Main case is also fixed for today. Arguments heard. It has been contended by the learned counsel for the accused/applicant that on 4.6.2019, there was birthday of accused/applicant and he informed about his non-appearance to his earlier counsel, but his counsel did not file application for his exemption, due to which his bail order was cancelled and non bailable warrants of arrest were issued against him. He further contended that the absence of the applicant/accused on 4.6.2019, was neither intentional nor wilful, but due to above said reason.

I have perused the appeal file. Perusal of the same reveals that earlier the accused/applicant was regularly appearing and this is the first lapse on his part. Further he surrendered himself in the court on the very next date. It appears that the absence of the accused/applicant is neither intentional nor wilful. In these circumstances, this bail application is allowed and the accused/applicant Neeraj Sharma is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of ₹.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of this court, subject to the following conditions : -

- a) that he shall attend the court in accordance with the conditions of the bonds executed;
- b) that he shall not leave India without the prior permission of the court;
- c) that he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

File of this bail application be attached with the main trial file(SC No.608/2017).

Pronounced in open court:
Dt.29.7.2019.

(S.K.Garg)
Sessions Judge,
Jalandhar.
(UID No.PB00020)

*Makhan Singh,