

Tarlok Nath Vs. State
BA-2977-18

Present: Sh. Harsh Taneja, Adv for accused/applicant Tarlok Nath
Sh. Anil Gill, APP for the State

Heard on the bail application, the accused is already in judicial custody. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the accused behind the bars. Therefore, accused is admitted to bail on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds in the sum of ₹20,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Bail bonds and surety bonds not furnished. Papers be attached with the remand papers.

Date of Order: 21.07.2018
Dimple

(Aashish Abrol)
Chief Judicial Magistrate
UID NO . PB0203