

CA No. 259/2023

ADARSH BEHL (SR. C) Vs. MOHINDER GOEL

20.04.2024

Present : Appellant in person produced from judicial custody.
Respondent no. 2 through Sh. Ganesh Garg,
Advocate.

The arguing counsel on behalf of appellant is again unable to make it to the court to argue the appeal, as conveyed by Ms. Priya Singh, Intern.

In such circumstances, it seems that the appellant is himself delaying the matter and inasmuch as he has not furnished the bail bonds despite being granted the bail to which counsel for respondent says that appellant simply does not want to pay anything ordered by the court and that is why he is not furnishing the bail bonds, so that he may ultimately take advantage of set off.

List the matter for arguments on **05.06.2024**.

(Vimal Kumar Yadav)

Principal District & Sessions Judge
New Delhi District
Patiala House Courts/ND/20.04.2024