

**CS No. 471/21**  
**AMIT GUPTA Vs. PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK**

**16.05.2024**

Present : Plaintiff through Sh. Vishesh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel  
for plaintiff who has joined through VC.  
Sh. Mahesh Kumar Chaudhary, Ld. Counsel for  
defendant/SBI who too joined through VC.

Adjournment is sought on behalf of defendant/SBI and it is informed that request for adjournment was communicated to the counsel for plaintiff in advance. Although, counsel appearing on behalf of plaintiff says that the adjournment should be subject to cost, inasmuch as the advance copy of the affidavit in evidence has already been supplied to the defendant a week before. Therefore, in these circumstances, request for adjournment without assigning any reason is not justified. The reason given by counsel for defendant is that he could not prepare the evidence due to family function. As such, matter stands adjourned for **08.07.2024** for DE.

**(Vimal Kumar Yadav)**  
Principal District & Sessions Judge  
New Delhi District  
Patiala House Courts/ND/16.05.2024