

CS No. 258/23

Puneet Rawal vs. Anil Bedi

22.05.2026

Present: Sh.Kashif Ahmed and Sh. Shahrukh, proxy advocate  
for plaintiff.

Sh. Gaurav Seth, counsel for defendant in VC.

No compromise took place between the parties. Proxy counsel for plaintiff stated that plaintiff demanded Rs.112 Lakhs but defendant was ready to pay only Rs. 5 Lakhs whereas counsel for defendant informed that the real controversy between the parties is regarding some unauthorised constructions.

Main counsel for plaintiff is not available today as not well.

Put up this matter on **08.06.2026** for arguments on behalf of plaintiff on leave to defendant application. Counsel for defendant has already argued on this application.

(Ashwani Kumar Sarpal)  
Principal District & Sessions Judge  
East District, KKD Delhi/22.05.2026