

2 Execution (Comm.) 140/22
Ranvir Singh Vs. Ansal Hi Tech Townships Limited

20.07.2026 (At 10:22AM)

Present : Sh. Shivang Chawla Ld. Counsel for the Award Holder.

None for the Award Debtor.

One judgment passed by some Consumer Forum has been filed, submitting that APIL is the company which controls the AD Company and it is so held by Consumer Court. At the same time, it is also informed that as per information sent by ROC to this court, AD company has its another property situated in Defense Colony. Ld. counsel submits that the company had been changing its directors and ARs as well as assets and AH does not want to go after the property situated in defence colony because that may be sold by AD company to defeat the claim of AH here. He presses to go after the leased premises of APIL company, after lifting the corporate veil.

In my opinion, before the court goes into the question of lifting the corporate veil, there being a property of AD company itself, AH must take steps for attachment of that very property of AD company and court cannot act on the presumptions. Therefore, AH is advised to take steps. Matter is adjourned so that AH may take his decision accordingly.

Put up this matter on 22.08.2026

Pulastya Pramachala
District Judge (Commercial Court)-01
Patiala House Court, New Delhi.
20.07.2026 (HL)