

CS 910/25

RAJESH KUMAR PANDEY VS. DINESH PANDEY

29.07.2026

Present: Sh. Ashok Upadhyay, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh. Muhamad Arif, Ld. Counsel for the defendant
no.1 alongwith defendant no.1.
Ms. Ritu Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.2.

An application U/o 11 Rule 14 CPC filed on behalf of the plaintiff for seeking the production of original conveyance deed for the suit property stating that the same is in possession of defendant no.1.

Reply filed on behalf of defendant no.1 stating that the defendant no.1 does not possess the original conveyance deed of the suit property, as alleged.

In view of the reply filed by defendant no.1 alongwith supporting affidavit, the application U/o 11 Rule 14 CPC filed on behalf of the plaintiff stands disposed of.

Defendant no.1 has filed another application U/s 152 CPC for seeking correction in the order dated 13.05.2026 passed by this Court, stating that only the ground floor in the suit property is undivided and rest three floors are already divided and orally partitioned amongst the parties and instead of the ground floor, the preliminary decree was passed w.r.t. the entire suit property. Copy supplied.

Put up for reply and arguments on the application on
19.11.2026.

(Ankur Jain-II)
DJ-02, SHD District
KKD/Delhi 29.07.2026