

CS No. 58491/16
SHREE MARUTI ENTP vs. POONAM TOHANI AND ORS.

05.07.2025

Present : Mr. Rakesh Chaudhary, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff
through VC.

Mr. Raghav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the defendant
No.1,2 & 3.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for plaintiff that mobile number of the defendant or their counsel was not available, hence previous cost could not be paid and same shall be paid when the mobile number shall be supplied. Ld. Counsel for defendants submitted that he shall provide the mobile number.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for plaintiff that present suit as well as one application u/O 1 Rule 10 CPC was dismissed in default vide order dated 19.04.2018 and thereafter the suit as well as the application was revived upon moving of appropriate application vide order dated 15.03.2023. It is further submitted that application moved on behalf of plaintiff u/O 1 Rule 10 CPC is still pending. Ld. Counsel for defendant submits that copy of same has not yet been supplied. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff is directed to supply copy of the application as well as to pay the cost imposed upon him vide order dated 15.03.2023.

Advance copy of the reply be also supplied to Ld. Counsel for plaintiff. Put up for arguments on application U/s 1 Rule 10 CPC on 14.11.2025.

(Meenu Kaushik)
District Judge-03
Patiala House Courts, New Delhi
05.07.2025