

SC 523/2019

NCB Vs. OJOBE KINGSLEY ANASACHUKWU ETC.

27.03.2026

Present: Sh. Mukeysh Malik, Ld. SPP for NCB.
Sh. Md. Saud Khan, Ld. counsel for surety Sh. Gopi
and Sh. Arun (through VC).
Sureties Sh. Gopi and Sh. Arun for the accused
Ojobe Kingsley are present before the Court.
Accused Rahul is present on bail.
Accused Ojobe Kingsley produced from JC.

The bailable warrants issued against sureties are returned executed. Since the order dated 22.01.2026, the sureties have filed two separate applications seeking their discharge in the present case. Ld. Counsel for sureties argued that since the accused has been apprehended, sureties deserve to be discharged. It is further submitted that the sureties had made all possible efforts for ensuring the presence of accused before this court.

The Court finds itself unable to accept the submissions of the Ld. Counsel for the reason that it is not the sureties who had produced the accused before the court during the trial. The sureties, despite service of notice have themselves failed to appear before the Court which compelled it to issue bailable warrant for securing their presence. Sureties have failed

Contd..

to honour the commitment they made at the time of furnishing the surety bonds. In the circumstances, the surety bonds stands forfeited and the above-mentioned applications (bearing IA No. 6/26 and 7/26 next listed for 18.04.2026) stands disposed of as dismissed. Date given earlier in the applications i.e. 18.04.2026 is cancelled. The personal bond also stands forfeited. Copy of the order be sent to the IO for taking steps for recovery and deposit in the Court of the personal and surety bond amount.

Matter be listed for arguments on charge qua accused Rahul for **08.07.2026**.

In view of the production of the accused Ojobe from JC the application dated 14.02.2026 filed by IO/NCB seeking his production warrants stands disposed off.

(Jitendra Pratap Singh)
ASJ/Spl. Judge, NDPS/N Delhi
27.03.2026/r