

ORDER

1. By way of this order, I shall dispose of the application moved on behalf of the LRs of the plaintiff for filing additional documents under Order 7 Rule 14 of CPC.

2. Arguments on the application have already been heard.

3. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the LRs of plaintiff that the present suit is filed by the plaintiff seeking possession and mandatory and prohibitory injunction. It is further stated that after filing of the present suit, plaintiff with lot of efforts could procure the relevant documents with respect to the sale deeds to 18 prospective buyers of 21 Bigha land of the plaintiff. It is further argued that due to old age plaintiff also could not file certain other relevant documents like Ration Card, savings account etc. at the time of filing of the suit. It is further argued that since these documents are quite essential for adjudication of the present case, present application is moved by the LRs of the plaintiff seeking permission to place on record all these documents.

- 4 The application is highly opposed by the Ld. Counsel for the defendant. It is argued that the documents sought to be placed on record by the plaintiff are firstly not relevant as the same are not pertaining to the suit property and further that the said documents were available with

and in possession of the plaintiff only since the time of filing of the suit and hence, at this belated stage LRs of the plaintiff should not be allowed to file any additional documents on record.

5 Arguments on the application addressed on behalf of the parties are taken into consideration. Record perused.

6 It is remained unexplained on part of the LRs of plaintiff as to how come the plaintiff was not into possession of the aforesaid documents at the time of filing of the suit and then how later on the documents got available with him. As per the Ld. Counsel of the LRs of plaintiff, documents he wants to place on record are pertaining to 18 different buyers of the 21 Bigha of the land which was allegedly sold by the plaintiff. As per the Ld. Counsel of LRs of plaintiff, the documents of the suit property were fraudulently executed by the defendant at the time of execution of sale documents of 18 different buyers for different portions of the adjoining land and hence, these documents/sale documents are relevant to decide the present suit. The contentions made on behalf of the LRS of plaintiff with respect to the relevancy of the documents which they want to place on record by way of the present application are not fount tenable. It is also remained unexplained on part of the LRs of plaintiff as to why the said documents were not placed on record at the time of filing of the present suit despite the fact that these documents were in the possession of the plaintiff only since inception.

7 In conspectus of the facts, the court is of considered opinion that no ground for allowing of the LRs of the plaintiff to place on record additional documents is made out. Hence, the present application stands disposed of as not allowed.

*(Announced in the open Court
on 14.03.2026)*

**(Meenu Kaushik)
District Judge-03
Patiala House Courts, New Delhi District
New Delhi**