

16 MACT 261/2
SURENDRA KUMAR Vs. LOVELESH ORS.

06/09/2024

Present: Sh. Rohit Jain, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Abhishek Yadav, Ld. Counsel for R1 & R2.
Sh. Sumit Gupta, Ld. Counsel for R3/Insurer.

Process to PW/Manager Adhan Solution Ltd. is unserved with report that no such company is running on the given address and instead a tenant namely Adv. Sahil was found residing there and reported to the process server that name of his landlord is Mukul.

At this stage, one more opportunity is sought by Ld. Counsel for petitioner to trace out the address of concerned company and summon witness. Adjournment is strongly opposed by Ld. Counsel for insurance company who submits that if any opportunity is given to the petitioner, at least interest on compensation if any awarded in future, may be stopped. Heard in the interest of justice, one more opportunity is given to the petitioner to examine relevant witness from employer, if any subject to the condition that till completion of PE, interest on compensation if any awarded in future, stands waived off from today, due to delay on the part of petitioner. On request, let witness from the employer be summoned afresh on filing of fresh address and PF for

evidence before LC on a mutually agreed date to be communicated to Tribunal within 3 days alongwith PF. Diet money to be paid at the spot.

Be put up for RE/final arguments on **13.12.2024**.

(Mayuri Singh)
P.O. MACT (East)/Delhi
06/09/2024