

In the Court of Sh.Rakesh Kumar Sharma
Addl. Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran.
(UID No.PB0133)

~~~~~

**CIS No. BA 3006-22**  
**Decided on: 18.11.2022**

Kuldeep Singh alias Gindu son of Labh Singh, resident of village Sabhra,  
Tehsil Patti, District Tarn Taran.

.....Applicant

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

|                                                                                                   |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>FIR No.169 dated 18.09.32022</b><br><b>Under section 304 of IPC</b><br><b>P.S. Sadar Patti</b> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Present: - Sh. A.S. Sangwan, Advocate, counsel for the  
accused/applicant  
Sh. Ashok Kumar APP for the State

**ORDER**

This order of mine shall dispose of an application for anticipatory bail moved on behalf of the accused/applicant Kuldeep Singh alias Gindu on the ground that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. One video viral on whatsAPP showing that Nishan Singh son of Subheg Singh, resident of village Sarhali Kalan working as a veterinary doctor in Gaushala of village Dubli, Tehsil Patti, District Tarn Taran died due to over dose of intoxicant substance. No complaint was filed and the present applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. The deceased was not minor and he should have known what is good and what is bad for him and the deceased was drug addict. It is submitted that accused/applicant be released on anticipatory bail.

2. The brief facts of the case are that FIR was registered by SI Kewal Singh on the basis of video viral about the death of Nishan Singh

having died due to over dose to be administered with the help of injunction and subsequently, during the course of investigation statement of mother of the deceased was recorded on 07.11.2022 and she disclosed that the deceased was openly claimed that he was purchasing the drugs from Kuldeep Singh and now his custody is sought as custodial interrogation is required.

3. Learned counsel for the accused/applicant has contended that no postmortem was got conducted. The FIR lying registered against the present applicant which pertains to the year 2018 and on the basis of previous FIR registered present applicant has been named and it is submitted that present applicant is ready to join the investigation.

4. On the other hand, learned APP for the State has strongly opposed the anticipatory bail application on the ground that in case the present applicant released on anticipatory bail he is likely to influence the witnesses and is not going to disclose the facts regarding the delivery of the substance which can only be revealed during custodial interrogation and custodial interrogation of the present applicant is necessary. Even in the ruqa secret information was alleged received that present applicant is also involved in drug peddlers, therefore, the very inception the involvement of the present applicant in supplying the drugs which has resulted into death of the deceased cannot be ruled out.

5. Learned counsel for the present applicant has submitted that there is only one injunction attributed but in this case four accused are sought to be associated and arrayed as a accused. Learned APP for the State has clarified that all the four accused have been named on the basis of secret information as well.

6. After having heard learned counsel for the accused/applicant and APP for the State and further taking into consideration the fact that present applicant is already involved in NDPS Act cases and his name was revealed in the secret information. Therefore, I find no merits in the present anticipatory bail application and the same stands dismissed. File be consigned to the record room.

**Pronounced**  
**18.11.2022**

**(Rakesh Kumar Sharma)**  
**Addl. Sessions Judge Tarn Taran.**  
**(UID No.PB0133)**