

PBSAB1000103-2020
State Vs. Prem Lal Midha BA-103-2020

Present: Sh.Vikas Gupta, Advocate for applicant.
Ld. APP for the State.

Heard on bail application of the accused. The allegations against the accused are under Sections 406, 420 IPC. It is pleaded by the prosecution that the accused person took Rs.34 lacs from the complainant Sukhwinder Kaur but failed to prepare the flat for proper completion. The accused has placed on record the copies of the registry in favour of the complainant. However, Ld. Counsel for the accused had argued that he had completed the flat as per the agreement between the parties. The arguments of the Ld. Counsel for accused that the complainant still has to make some balance payment due to which the flats were not completed in relation to internal furnishing/completion and it was mutual agreed between the parties that the flats would be fully completed when the complainant wishes to sell them, is merely a verbal plea of the accused which is yet to be proved on record. At this stage, in view of the gravity of the offence, the Court is of the considered opinion that accused is not entitled to benefit of bail. As such, bail application is dismissed. Papers be attached with the main file.

**(Pamelpreet Grewal Kahal)PCS,
SDJM/Dera Bassi.
UID No:(PB 0304)**

**Date of Order: 17.01.2020
(Rajbir Kaur)**