

CNR NO: PBLD010157622026
CIS NO: BA/6374/2026

Deepak Goel vs State

Present: Sh.Gurkirpal Singh Gill, Advocate, counsel for applicant.
Ms.Ramandeep Kaur, Additional PP for the respondent/State, assisted by counsel
Sh.Puneet Sareen, Advocate counsel for the complainant.

ORDER:

Reply/proforma to the bail application already filed by the respondent/State.

Written arguments filed by the complainant through counsel.

As per report of the Ahlmad, he has checked the record as well as CI System and certified that no bail application of applicant is pending in this case FIR proceedings before the Hon'ble High Court.

2. Arguments heard on bail application moved by applicant Deepak Goel son of Sh.Vishav Nath Goel, resident of House No.HL-130, Punjab Housing Board, Colony, Jamalpur, District Ludhiana, for **anticipatory bail** in case FIR No.237 dated 17.05.2026, under Section 108 of BNS, Police Station Samrala, District Ludhiana.

3. Record perused.

4. I have considered the arguments, put forth by both the sides and scrutinized the record.

5. As is transpired from the record, FIR in the present case was got registered on the basis of statement of complainant Vivek. Allegedly, he alongwith his brother Amit Kumar was running a shop in the name of Aggarwal Grocery Store. In the year 2001, they started the said shop jointly with their uncle Vishwanath Goyal. Complainant's younger brother Amit Kumar alongwith Viswanath Goyal used to sit in the shop while the complainant did the outside work. They used to buy and sell the properties jointly with their uncle Viswanath Goyal but got those transactions registered in the name of their uncle Viswanath Goyal. Though Vishwanath Goyal was issueless, he adopted Deepak Kumar son of his sister-in-law Kiran Goyal. Viswanath Goyal died in May 2021, leaving behind Deepak Goyal (present applicant) and his wife Usha Goyal. After his death, most of the time, the complainant's brother Amit Kumar would sit in the shop. However, Deepak Goyal also started sitting in the shop and bringing his natural mother Kiran Goyal wife of late Parshotam Lal and natural brothers Dinesh Goyal alias Golu and Rinku Goyal alias Kalu to the shop. Even Sonu Goyal, son of Viswanath Goyal's brother also started visiting the shop with Deepak Goyal. All

of them often humiliated the complainant and his brother by doing work saying that the entire property was in the name of Viswanath Goyal and that they would take them (complainant party) out at any time. Due to this reason, Amit Kumar started remaining under mental tension.

On 15/05/2026, Amit Kumar went to the shop in the morning and returned home at around 11-30 A.M. He informed the complainant that Deepak Goyal along with his brothers Dinesh Goyal alias Golu and Rinku Goyal alias Kalu had visited the shop and humiliated him about the work and said that they would confiscate the entire property. At about 03-00 PM, Amit Kumar again left for shop on his black colour scooter number PB-10-EX-9938. At around 03-43 PM, Amit Kumar uploaded 02 videos in their family whatsapp group saying that *Deepak Goyal and his brother Golu, resident of Panchkula, along with his family, were pressurizing them and trying to seize all the properties. At the end of the video, he was saying that he would meet the same family, brother and children in the next life.*

After watching this video, the complainant started searching for Amit Kumar through his acquaintances and they found his scooter number PB-10-EX-9938 and his mobile phone near the *Nilo Nehar* Bridge. Thereafter, the deadbody of

Amit Kumar was found in the *Gurthali Pul* canal. In this manner, Deepak Goyal, Dinesh Goyal alias Golu, Rinku Goyal alias Kalu and their mother Kiran Goyal abetted Amit Kumar to commit suicide by humiliating him in order to fraudulently seize the grocery shop and the properties purchased by them along with Viswanath Goyal.

After registration of the FIR, further investigation was carried out.

6. During arguments, the learned Additional PP for the State assisted by the ld.counsel for the complainant reiterated the contents of the FIR. It is vehemently argued that there are serious allegations against the applicant who alongwith others harassed him and his deceased brother as a result of which his brother committed suicide. It was clear from the video uploaded by the deceased that the present applicant alongwith others instigated the deceased to commit suicide. They humiliated him and his brother and also threatened to grab the whole property purchased by them in the name of Vishavnath Goel. It is further argued that the deceased specifically uttered the name of the present applicant in the alleged video regarding the harassment and humiliation caused by him alongwith others just before he committed suicide. Hence, the present applicant does not deserve the leniency of

the Court in grant of relief of anticipatory bail. In support of these contentions, ld.Counsel for the complainant also placed on record the written arguments.

Per contra, the ld.Counsel for the applicant vehemently argued that he was innocent and falsely implicated in the present case. He has no concern with the alleged occurrence or the allegations leveled against him. The present FIR is got registered on the basis of one video allegedly sent by the deceased in their family group but the deceased in the said video is nowhere saying that he was going to commit suicide on the instigation of the applicant. It is further argued that the present applicant is the relative of the deceased and his brother. Father of the applicant died in the year 2021 and after his death, the complainant and his deceased brother were having an evil eye on the property of the applicant. The shop in question is the exclusive ownership of the applicant as it was purchased by his father vide a sale deed. After the death of his father, mutation and sanction was also entered in his favour. The present FIR is lodged only to pressurize the applicant so that he cannot put any hindrance in grabbing the property owned by Vishavnath Goel by the complainant. It is further argued that there is no reference of any property dispute or intention of the deceased to commit suicide in the said video.

As the phone of the deceased was already taken into possession by the investigating agency, no recovery was to be effected from the applicant. There is no scope of tampering with the evidence as deceased's brother himself is a witness. The police is raiding the house of applicant to arrest him. If he is arrested, his personal liberty shall be jeopardized. He is ready to join the investigation as and when so directed and will abide by all the conditions imposed by the Court. Much stress was laid on the point that the applicant deserved the relief of bail on the ground of parity with Rinku Goel who was already granted the concession of bail. Hence, the prayer for relief of anticipatory bail.

7. Be that as it may, the Court is of the opinion that the allegations against the present applicant are grave in nature, whose name is specifically mentioned in the videos uploaded by the deceased before committing suicide, while saying that *Deepak Goel and his brother Golu, resident of Panchkula, along with his family, were pressurizing them and trying to seize all the properties.*

So far the **averments/contentions of the learned counsel for applicant** are concerned, those are matters to be appreciated only after taking evidence during trial. At this stage, it is too early to jump to any conclusion for

the purpose of bail. The matter is still pending investigation and challan is yet to be presented. Therefore, there is every likelihood that if granted bail, the applicant may attempt to hamper the process of the investigation in one way or the other. Even otherwise, the *modus operandi* of the applicant/accused is to be probed during investigation. Moreover, it would not give a right signal to the society. As far as the contention of the applicant on the ground of parity is concerned, that is devoid of any merit as the name of the present applicant alongwith others is specifically mentioned in the alleged video uploaded by deceased while it was not so in the case of Rinku Goel.

Therefore, without further delving into the merits of the case and in view of the fact that there are serious allegations against the present applicant, the court is of the opinion that at this stage, the **applicant** is not entitled to the concession of bail. Consequently, the bail application is **dismissed**.

However, nothing observed herein shall be construed as any expression of opinion of this Court on merits of the case.

8. Police record be returned.

9. Bail file be consigned to the Record Room,
Ludhiana.

Announced in open Court.
Dated: 30.07.2026
Manjit Singh, Stg G-II.
(directed typed on dictation)

(Dr.Rajneesh),
Addl., Session Judge,
Ludhiana (UID-PB0172)