

CS No. 231/24
M/s Pine Labs Pvt. Ltd. Vs. LB Monotaro Pvt. Ltd.

23.05.2025

Present: Ms. Amita Kumari, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff
through VC.
Mr. Anupam Kirti, Ld. Counsel for the defendant.

Reply to application under Order 11 Rules 12 & 14 r/w
Section 151 CPC is filed.

Copy has already been supplied.

Ld. Counsel for the defendant seeks permission to
withdraw the application under Order 11 Rules 12 & 14 r/w
Section 151 CPC.

Said application is dismissed as withdrawn.

Ld. Counsel for the defendant submits that since there is an
arbitration agreement, the dispute between the parties has to be
referred to arbitration, without the requirement of the defendant
filing an application under Section 8 of the Arbitration and
Conciliation Act.

He submits that he will file judgments on this aspect.

He states that the defendant has paid the cost of Rs.5,000/-
imposed on 26.11.2024.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff requests for a direction that
copy of the judgments be supplied to her alongwith proof of
payment.

Matter is adjourned.

Let copy of judgments that the Ld. Counsel for the defendant intends to rely upon and proof of payment of cost be supplied to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff four weeks prior to the next date of hearing.

To come up for arguments on the objection raised on behalf of the defendant that the dispute has to be referred to arbitration, on 15.11.2025.

(Shirish Aggarwal)
District Judge-03
Patiala House Courts, New Delhi
23.05.2025