

**RCT No.13/18**

**Sarvesh Chand Gupta vs Suresh Kumar Gupta**

**25.02.2019**

Present: Sh.Tarun Aggarwal,Ld.Counsel for appellant.  
Sh.G.P.Pant,Ld.Proxy Counsel for R-1(i),(ii)  
& (iii), R-5 and R-6.

**R-4 is ex-parte.**

Notice sent to **R-2 Naresh Kumar Gupta** through ordinary course as well as registered AD received back with the reports **“not residing there” & “left” respectively**. Hence, the process server affixed the notice alongwith copy of petition at the premises in question.

Ld. Counsel for the appellant submits that this is the only address available with them. However, effort shall be made to trace other address, if any.

Meanwhile, let the process server who affixed the notice, be summoned for the next date of hearing.

Notice sent to **R-3** through ordinary course received back with the report **“yahan is naam ka koi nahi hai”**. Hence, the process server affixed the notice alongwith copy of petition at the premises in question.

**Contd...**

**RCT No.13/18**

**- 2 -**

Let the process server who affixed the summons, be summoned for the next date of hearing.

In case Ld. Counsel for appellant places on record any fresh address of respondent no.2 and 3, notices be issued to them on PF & RC.

To come up on **26.03.2019**.

**(POONAM A. BAMBA)**  
**District & Sessions Judge**  
**New Delhi/25.02.2019**