

RCT No. 13/18

Sarvesh Chand Gupta Vs. Suresh Kumar Gupta

(Proceedings through Video Conferencing)

07.12.2020

Present: Sh.P.K.Rawal and Sh.Tarun Aggarwal,

Ld. Counsel for appellant.

Sh.Rohit Kumar, Ld.Counsel for LR's of R-1,

R-5 to R-8.

R-2 has not been served.

R-3 & R-4 are ex-parte.

Summons sent to R-1 at R-23, NSDE, Part-II, New Delhi received back as unserved.

Ld.Counsel for appellant has moved an application for service of R-2 through affixation. It has been submitted that R-2 is intentionally avoiding the service and the appellant has already taken the steps for service of R-2 at the last known address.

I have seen the application. I consider that before resorting to service through affixation of R-2, let R-2 be served at his all the known addresses by speed post for **04.01.2021**. The appellant is also directed to file an affidavit to the effect that the address of R-2 at which the process have been issued are the only address of R-2 in the knowledge of appellant.

(Dinesh Kumar Sharma)

Principal District & Sessions Judge/RCT

New Delhi/07.12.2020