

Crl. Rev. No. 212/2025

Aprajita Saigal Vs. Rakesh Nayar & Ors.

29.04.2026

Present : Sh. Anshu Mahajan, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner/revisionist, files vakalatnama. Same is taken on record.

Sh. Gurjeet Singh, Ld. Counsel for respondent No.1, joins through VC.

Sh. Manish Gandhi, Ld. Counsel for respondent No.2, joins through VC. Sh. Himanshu Kaushik, Ld. Counsel present in person.

Sh. Waseem Akram, Ld. Counsel for respondent No.3.

Petitioner has served notice upon respondent Nos. 4 & 6 through whatsapp but notice to respondent No.5 could not be served as his whereabouts are not known.

Respondent Nos.4 to 6 were the employees of respondent No.3 who were arrayed as a party by respondent No.3 before the trial court. They have not challenged the said order. The dispute is between petitioner and respondent No.1 in this revision petition.

Even otherwise, the service of respondent Nos. 4 to 6 has been dispensed with by Sh. Atul Ahlawat, Ld. ASJ/Spl. Judge, NDPS, PHC, NDD vide order dated 11.09.2025.

In these circumstances, renotify for arguments on **27.07.2026.**

(Pitamber Dutt)

Principal District & Sessions Judge
New Delhi District
Patiala House Courts/ND/29.04.2026