

**Cr. Rev 212/2025**  
**APRAJITA SAIGAL Vs. RAKESH NAYAR**  
**(IGI Metro)**

**11.08.2025**

Present: Sh. Madhav Sareen, Ld. Counsel for the revisionist  
(through VC).  
Sh. Mohd. Aman, Ld. counsel for respondent no.1  
(through VC).  
Sh. Waseem Akram and Sh. Rajiv Kumar, Ld.  
Counsels for respondent no. 3.

TCR is received.

Notice issued to respondent no. 2/ABW Suncity  
received back unserved with the report that no such office of the  
respondent no. 2 company was found at the given address.

The Ld. Counsel for revisionist has not filed the  
affidavit regarding the service effected to the other respondents.  
It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for revisionist that one more  
opportunity be granted to him to bring on record the correct  
address of respondent no. 2 company and let fresh notice be  
issued to respondent no. 4, 5 and 6.

The revisionist is directed to file fresh PF/RC for  
issuance of notice to respondent no. 2, 4, 5 & 6 within 3 days  
from today.

-2-

The revisionist is directed to provide the copy of the revision petition and the documents annexed therewith to respondent no. 1 & 3 during the course of the day.

Re-list for appearance of the respondents and further proceedings on **11.09.2025**.

Let the TCR be sent back and be summoned afresh one day prior to the NDOH.

Interim orders to continue.

**(Atul Ahlawat)**  
**ASJ/Spl. Judge, NDPS/N. Delhi**  
**11.08.2025**