

SC No. 9251/2016
State v. Paramjeet Singh @ Tinku
FIR No. 45/2015
PS Special Cell

17.03.2026

Present: Sh. A.B Asthana, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Asheesh Raizada, Ld. counsel for accused
Deepak.
Sh. Vikas Gautam, Ld. Counsel for accused
Paramjeet.
Accused Paramjeet Singh and Deepak are present on
bail.
Accused Devender Pal Singh is absconding.

NBW issued against accused Uruakpa Ahmed @
Baba Don returned with the report that the accused is not residing
at the address furnished before the Court. Notice Issued to the
surety of the said accused has also returned un-served with the
report that the surety also is not residing at the address available
with the Court.

In view of the above, the Court is of the opinion that
the accused Uruakpa is concealing himself with an intention to
delay the proceedings. Issue process u/s 82 Cr.P.C with respect to
the said accused for the NDOH. The surety bond and the personal
bond stands forfeited. Appropriate steps be taken to recover the
surety bond amount and to deposit it with the State by the

-2-

IO/SHO concerned. Copy of this order be sent to the IO/SHO. The SHO is also directed to file a report after conducting an inquiry as to whether any verification report about the address of the surety was furnished before the Court, which led it to accept the surety bond.

Matter be listed on **20.05.2026**.

(Jitendra Pratap Singh)
ASJ/Spl. Judge, NDPS/N Delhi
17.03.2026/p