

CS 170/20

SMT JAIWANTI Vs. KANTA GURNANI ETC.

13.03.2026

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Bhartiya, ld. counsel for plaintiff
through VC.

Ms. Kusum Lata, Ld. counsel for defendants
alongwith defendant No.2 & 3 in person.

Notice issued to Ld. SDM received back served however no report regarding the financial status of plaintiff received from concerned SDM. On filing of fresh PF, notice be issued concerned SDM for filing of financial status of plaintiff on 25.03.2026.

At this stage it is submitted by ld. counsel for defendants that present suit is filed by the daughter of plaintiff being her SPA holder to grab the property of defendants. It is further submitted that defendants have reasonable apprehension that plaintiff does not even has knowledge about the present suit. It is further stated that vide order dated 20.05.2025 direction was given for producing the plaintiff in the court however, till date plaintiff in person has not appeared.

It is submitted by ld. counsel for plaintiff that plaintiff can appear through VC on next date of hearing. Put up for appearance of plaintiff through VC on next date of hearing and for further proceedings.

(Meenu Kaushik)
District Judge-03
Patiala House Courts, New Delhi
13.03.2026