

6 Ex.COMM – Award by Arb. Comm. 10/25
M/s Bansal Construction Vs. Union of India

20.07.2026 (At 12:09PM)

Present : Sh. S.W. Haider, Ld. Counsel for the Award Holder.

Sh. Nitish Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the Award Debtor.

This is second call, when Mr. Pandey has appeared only to seek further adjournment and some more time to comply with directions given on last date. This court had already recorded observations in respect of unsatisfactory conduct of AD department on the last date and I do not want to record the same again. In fact a cost of Rs.25,000/- was also imposed upon AD department on last date. Unfortunately, same did not work to make the AD department work.

On next date, concerned Sr. DEN of AD Department, shall appear physically and show cause as to why not personal accountability of the concerned official to pay the cost as well as the additional interest accrued against AD, be fixed, and to justify why such amount should be allowed to be a burden on public exchequer's money.

Copy of this order may be taken by Mr. Pandey dasti and it shall be his responsibility to handover the same to concerned Sr. DEN of railway department for strict compliance.

A further cost of Rs.25,000/- for seeking adjournment on this day is imposed and it shall be last opportunity for AD department for voluntarily tendering the due amount by next date of hearing with cost.

Put up this matter on 27.08.2026.

Pulastya Pramachala
District Judge (Commercial Court)-01
Patiala House Court, New Delhi.
20.07.2026 _(HL)