

3 CS (COMM.) 223/25

State Bank Of India Vs. Surender Kumar

05.06.2026 (At 10:12AM)

Present : Sh. Varun Chandiok, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff
through video conferencing.
None for the defendant.

One document in the nature of account opening form was filed on behalf of plaintiff to show the particulars furnished by defendant at the time of opening his account. In column no.5 of this form, same WhatsApp number and email id were mentioned by defendant on which service of summons with complete paper book was reported to be done on 23.03.2026. One affidavit of Mr. Lalmawizuala Sailo has been also filed, according to which he visited given address of defendant on 10.04.2026 in Rajasthan, but he was not allowed to affix the summons, though the summons with paper book were accepted by one person namely Sh. Virender, who introduced himself as nephew of the defendant.

On the basis of service through email and WhatsApp, defendant is deemed to be served on 23.03.2026, but till date neither the defendant has appeared nor any written statement has been filed.

In the absence of any reasons presented before this court, I do not find any ground to extend the period for filing written statement. In these circumstances, opportunity of defendant to file written statement stands closed.

On next date, AR of the plaintiff shall appear with all original documents for PE/final submissions.

Put up for **14.10.2026**.

Pulastya Pramachala

District Judge (Commercial Court)-01

Patiala House Court, New Delhi. 05.06.2026 (HL)