

CA No.45/2024
Pooja Tyagi v. Jitender Singh Dhanjal

26.09.2025

Present: Ms. Himanshi Panwar and Ms. Nisha Nagar, Ld. Proxy
Counsels for appellant.
Ms. Ishita Yadav, Ld. Counsel for respondent.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for appellant submits that demand draft in the name of court of installment of Rs.50,000/- is to be handed over to the respondent. This court fails to understand that when amount is to be paid to the respondent, then, why demand draft is issued in the name of the court.

Accordingly, the appellant is directed to ensure that remaining installments are not paid by any instrument in the name of the court and directly paid in favor of the respondent who has to receive the installments, failing which adverse orders shall be passed against the appellant.

Let the present order sheet be treated as NOC in favor of the respondent for due encashment of the demand draft in favor of the respondent by the concerned bank officials.

Put up for further payment on **27.10.2025**.

Copy of the order be given dasti.

(Deepti Devesh)
ASJ/Spl.FTC/PHC/26.09.2025